IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 403 (M/S) 2001
Old No. 37773/92

Children Senior Academy Junior High School,
Manglore Town, District Hardwar through it's Manager
cum- Secretary, Sri S.L. Dinker. ….. Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of U.P.
2. Director of Education (Basic), U.P. at Lucknow.
3. District Director of Education, Region I, Meerut.
4. District Inspector of Schools, Hardwar.
5. District Basic Education Officer, Hardwar.
6. Accounts Officer (Basic Shiksha), based at the Municipal Board Campus, Hardwar
7. Sri Tej Singh Verma, Principal, Government Inter College, Roorkee, District Hardwar.
8. Raghav Prasad Rai, City Area, Manglore, Roorkee Distt: Hardwar.

….. Respondents

17.06.2006

Sri Siddhartha Sah, Learned counsel for the petitioner,
Standing counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri Siddhartha Sah, Counsel for the petitioner and Standing counsel for the respondents.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of certiorari quashing the order dated 16.09.1992 passed by Dy. Director of Education, Region I, Meerut.

Briefly stated according to the petitioner, the Children Senior Academy Junior High School, Manglore Town, Roorkee Distt: Hardwar was a duly recognized institution under the U.P. Basic Education Act, 1972 and the said school as per the recorgnization was engaged in imparting education from Class VI to VII and initially temporary recognition was accorded by the District Basic Education Officer, Saharanpur vide letter dated 01.07.1977. On 01.06.1991, the Management Committee passed a resolution that the institution stood closed and the students and wards were asked

to collect their Transfer Certificates and a copy of the resolution had also sent to the District Basic Education Officer on 27.07.1991.

In this connection, the respondents have directed to appoint Prabandhak Sanchalak of the said school for one year, that period has also expired now.

In view of the above, petitioner may make a fresh representation before the concerned authority.

Petitioner has also submitted that respondents are misusing the name of the said school as such the petitioner is permitted to make a fresh representation before the authority concerned and the same shall be considered in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner within a period of two months.

Subject to the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

17.06.2006 **(Rajesh Tandon, J.)**

Jain